

Determining the Continuous Family of Quantum Fisher Metrics from Linear Response Theory

Tomohiro Shitara^{1,*} and Masahito Ueda^{1,2}

¹*Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Hongo 7-3-1, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8654, Japan*

²*RIKEN Center for Emergent Matter Science (CEMS), Wako 351-0198, Japan*

(Dated: October 15, 2015)

The quantum Fisher metrics represent the continuous family of metrics on the space of quantum states, and place the fundamental limit of accuracy of quantum state estimation. We show that the entire quantum Fisher metrics can be determined from linear response theory through generalized covariances. We derive the generalized fluctuation-dissipation theorem that relates the linear response function to generalized covariances and hence allows us to determine the quantum Fisher metrics from experimentally measurable quantities.

PACS numbers: 03.67.-a

It is vital to identify what is the natural metric on the space of physical states, thereby unveiling their operational meaning. In classical theory, where states are represented by probability distributions in phase space, the monotonicity under information processing determines the unique metric [1], namely the Fisher metric. Here, the monotonicity means that the Fisher metric decreases monotonically under any stochastic mapping. The Fisher metric gives the fundamental upper bound of the accuracy of state estimation, known as the Cramér-Rao bound [2]. The Fisher metric also appears in nonequilibrium thermodynamics [3–5]. For example, in linear response regime, the excess work needed to control thermal states can be expressed in terms of the Fisher metric on the space of equilibrium states [4].

In quantum theory, the noncommutativity of density operators dramatically changes the situation in which a continuous family of metrics, called quantum Fisher metrics, emerge that monotonically decrease under stochastic mappings and a unique one-to-one correspondence holds between monotone metrics and operator monotone functions [6]. In quantum estimation theory [7–10], each quantum Fisher metric has its own individual operational meaning. For example, the symmetric logarithmic derivative (SLD) Fisher metric and the right logarithmic derivative (RLD) Fisher metric place different upper bounds on the accuracy of state estimation, and either of them can be expressed as a covariance of appropriate observables. The skew information [11], which is closely related to the Wigner-Araki-Yanase theorem [12–14] and uncertainty relations [15], is yet another example of quantum Fisher metrics up to a constant multiplication factor. However, neither a unified understanding operational meanings of various quantum Fisher metrics nor how to experimentally determine the general quantum Fisher metric is known.

As an equivalent description of the quantum Fisher metrics, the generalized covariances was introduced in Refs. [16, 17], which, however, cannot be measured by usual quantum measurements except for special cases.

The authors of Refs. [16, 17] quantify fluctuations or correlations of observables that do not commute with the density operator. Although the quantum Fisher metrics can be expressed as generalized covariances of appropriate observables, how to determine the generalized covariances from experimentally measurable quantities remains outstanding.

Statistical mechanics provides a way to experimentally measure generalized covariances. According to the linear response theory [18], when a state is at equilibrium, the linear response to an external field is expressed in terms of the canonical correlation of the two dynamical observables, corresponding to the Bogoliubov-Kubo-Mori (BKM) metric. The fluctuation-dissipation theorem asserts that the Fourier components of the symmetrized correlation, which corresponds to the SLD metric, is proportional to those of the response function. Therefore, it is expected that any generalized covariance of the dynamical observables has the same amount of information, and can be expressed in terms of linear response functions.

In this Letter, we develop a method to determine the quantum Fisher metric from linear response theory. For this purpose, we derive a generalized fluctuation-dissipation theorem, which relates generalized covariances to the admittance in the frequency domain. We show how to determine generalized covariances from the linear response to an external field. Finally, we discuss what kind of the external field is needed to determine a given quantum Fisher metric.

Consider a family of density operators $\{\hat{\rho}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\}$ parametrized by a real vector $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. The state of the system is given by $\hat{\rho}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ for some fixed but unknown $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. Our task is to perform an appropriate measurement, and estimate $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ as accurate as possible. When the estimation is unbiased, the variance of estimated parameters is larger than the inverse of the quantum Fisher information known as the quantum Cramér-Rao inequality. Here, the quantum Fisher information is

defined by

$$[J_{\theta}^Q]_{\mu\nu} = \text{tr} \left[\frac{\partial \hat{\rho}_{\theta}}{\partial \theta_{\mu}} (\mathbf{K}_{\hat{\rho}_{\theta}}^f)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial \hat{\rho}_{\theta}}{\partial \theta_{\nu}} \right) \right], \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{K}_{\hat{\rho}}^f$ is a superoperator defined as $\mathbf{K}_{\hat{\rho}}^f := f(\mathbf{L}_{\hat{\rho}} \mathbf{R}_{\hat{\rho}}^{-1}) \mathbf{R}_{\hat{\rho}}$, and $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is an operator monotone function satisfying $f(1) = 1$; $\mathbf{R}_{\hat{\rho}}$ and $\mathbf{L}_{\hat{\rho}}$ are superoperators that multiply $\hat{\rho}$ from the right and left, respectively:

$$\mathbf{R}_{\hat{\rho}}(\hat{A}) := \hat{A} \hat{\rho}, \quad \mathbf{L}_{\hat{\rho}}(\hat{A}) := \hat{\rho} \hat{A}. \quad (2)$$

We note that the quantum Fisher information is defined for each operator monotone function $f(x)$, and characterized by the monotonicity under completely positive and trace-preserving mappings [6]. The quantum Cramér-Rao inequality immediately follows from the monotonicity and the classical Cramér-Rao inequality. The generalized covariance is defined as

$$\langle\langle \hat{X}, \hat{Y} \rangle\rangle_{\hat{\rho}}^f := \text{tr} \left[\hat{X}^{\dagger} \mathbf{K}_{\hat{\rho}}^f \hat{Y} \right]. \quad (3)$$

The symmetrized correlation and the canonical correlation correspond to $f(x) = \frac{1+x}{2}$ and $f(x) = \frac{x-1}{\log x}$, respectively. Then, using the logarithmic derivative $\hat{L}_{\mu} := (\mathbf{K}_{\hat{\rho}_{\theta}}^f)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial \hat{\rho}_{\theta}}{\partial \theta_{\mu}} \right)$, the quantum Fisher information can be rewritten as

$$[J_{\theta}^Q]_{\mu\nu} = \langle\langle \hat{L}_{\mu}, \hat{L}_{\nu} \rangle\rangle_{\hat{\rho}_{\theta}}^f. \quad (4)$$

If we define the dual of an operator monotone function $f(x)$ as $\tilde{f}(x) := x f(1/x)$, which is also operator monotone, we obtain

$$\langle\langle \hat{X}, \hat{Y} \rangle\rangle_{\hat{\rho}}^f = \langle\langle \hat{Y}, \hat{X} \rangle\rangle_{\hat{\rho}}^{\tilde{f}}. \quad (5)$$

In particular, if $f(x) = \tilde{f}(x)$ is satisfied, $f(x)$ is called standard, and the corresponding generalized covariance and the quantum Fisher information take real values.

The generalized covariances and the quantum Fisher information satisfy another type of the quantum Cramér-Rao inequality. To see this, we consider a one-parameter model described as

$$\hat{\rho}_{\theta} = \hat{\rho}_0 + \theta \frac{\partial \hat{\rho}_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} \bigg|_{\theta=0} + O(\theta^2). \quad (6)$$

Suppose that we estimate the parameter θ by measuring an observable $\hat{O}$. If we impose a local unbiasedness at $\theta = 0$, i.e., $\text{tr} [\hat{O} \hat{\rho}_{\theta}] = \theta + O(\theta^2)$, we have

$$\langle\langle \hat{O}, \hat{O} \rangle\rangle_{\hat{\rho}_0}^f \geq \frac{1}{J_{\theta=0}^Q} \quad (7)$$

from the Schwarz inequality, where the equality is achieved for

$$\hat{X} = \frac{\hat{L}}{\langle\langle \hat{L}, \hat{L} \rangle\rangle_{\hat{\rho}_0}^f}. \quad (8)$$

In this sense, the quantum Fisher metric gives the minimum value of the corresponding generalized covariance of operators with which we estimate θ .

For a given state $\hat{\rho}$, we assume that the system evolves under the Hamiltonian $\hat{H} = -\frac{1}{\beta} \log \hat{\rho}$, where $\beta = 1/k_B T$ is the inverse temperature. Or, equivalently, we consider the Gibbs ensemble for the same Hamiltonian. Then, we have

$$\hat{H} = \sum_i E_i |i\rangle \langle i|, \quad \hat{\rho} = \sum_i p_i |i\rangle \langle i|, \quad (9)$$

$$p_i = e^{-\beta(E_i - F)}, \quad (10)$$

where $|i\rangle$'s are the eigenvectors of the density operator, and $F := -\frac{1}{\beta} \log \text{tr} [e^{-\beta \hat{H}}]$ is the free energy. According to the Kubo formula [18], the linear response of current $\hat{J}_{\mu}(t) := \hat{A}_{\mu}(t) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\hat{A}_{\mu}(t), \hat{H}]$ to an external perturbation $\hat{H}^{\text{ext}}(t) = -\sum_{\nu} X_{\nu}(t) \hat{A}_{\nu}$ is given by the canonical correlations of the current operators:

$$\Phi_{\mu\nu}(t) = \beta \int_0^1 \text{tr} [\hat{\rho}^{\lambda} \hat{J}_{\mu}(t) \hat{\rho}^{1-\lambda} \hat{J}_{\nu}(0)] d\lambda. \quad (11)$$

In the frequency domain, the symmetrized correlation

$$C_{\mu\nu,\omega}^{\text{symm}} := \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} \text{tr} [\hat{\rho} (\hat{J}_{\mu}(t) \hat{J}_{\nu}(0) + \hat{J}_{\nu}(0) \hat{J}_{\mu}(t))] \quad (12)$$

is proportional to the response,

$$C_{\mu\nu,\omega}^{\text{symm}} = \hbar\omega \cdot \frac{1}{2} \coth \left(\frac{\beta \hbar \omega}{2} \right) \Phi_{\mu\nu,\omega}, \quad (13)$$

where the coefficient is equal to the energy of the harmonic oscillator in thermal equilibrium.

Now we are in a position to state our main results of this Letter. We define the Fourier component of the generalized covariance of two temporal current observables as

$$C_{\mu\nu,\omega}^f := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt (t - t') e^{i(t-t')\omega} \langle\langle \hat{J}_{\mu}(t), \hat{J}_{\nu}(t') \rangle\rangle_{\hat{\rho}}^f. \quad (14)$$

Then, the fluctuation-dissipation theorem (13) is generalized as

$$C_{\mu\nu,\omega}^f = \hbar\omega \frac{f(e^{-\beta \hbar \omega})}{1 - e^{-\beta \hbar \omega}} \Phi_{\mu\nu,\omega}. \quad (15)$$

In the frequency domain, any generalized covariance is proportional to the response $\Phi_{\mu\nu,\omega}$, and the choice of an operator monotone function $f(x)$ determines its frequency-dependence of the coefficient. Equation (15) reduces to the usual fluctuation-dissipation theorem (13) by setting $f(x) = \frac{1+x}{2}$, which corresponds to the SLD Fisher metric. We note that generalized covariances do not depend on $f(x)$ in the classical limit or the high-temperature limit $\beta \hbar \omega \rightarrow 0$. The term $\frac{f(e^{-\beta \hbar \omega})}{1 - e^{-\beta \hbar \omega}}$ in

TABLE I. Summary of the coefficients appearing in the generalized fluctuation-dissipation theorem (15) for various quantum Fisher metrics.

quantum Fisher metric	$f(x)$	$\frac{f(e^{-\alpha})}{1-e^{-\alpha}}$
symmetric logarithmic derivative	$\frac{x+1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2} \coth \frac{\alpha}{2} = \bar{n} + 1/2$
Bogoliubov-Kubo-Mori	$\frac{x-1}{\log x}$	$\frac{1}{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\log(\bar{n}+1) - \log \bar{n}}$
right logarithmic derivative	x	$\frac{1}{e^{\alpha}-1} = \bar{n}$
left logarithmic derivative	1	$\frac{1}{1-e^{-\alpha}} = \bar{n} + 1$
real part of right logarithmic derivative	$\frac{2x}{x+1}$	$\frac{1}{\sinh \alpha} = \frac{2\bar{n}(\bar{n}+1)}{2\bar{n}+1}$
skew information	$\sqrt{x}$	$\frac{1}{2 \sinh(\alpha/2)} = \sqrt{\bar{n}(\bar{n}+1)}$

Eq. (15) can be rewritten in terms of the expectation

$$C_{\mu\nu,\omega}^f = \iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE dE' \rho_{\text{eq}}(E') f\left(\frac{\rho_{\text{eq}}(E)}{\rho_{\text{eq}}(E')}\right) 2\pi\hbar\delta(E - \hbar\omega - E') j_{\nu\mu}^C(E, E'), \quad (17)$$

where $\rho_{\text{eq}}(E) := e^{-\beta(E-F)}$. Since only the terms with

value of the number operator of the harmonic oscillator, $\bar{n} := \frac{1}{e^{\alpha}-1}$ with $\alpha := \beta\hbar\omega$. Actually, it is equal to a generalized mean [19] of $\bar{n}$ and $\bar{n}+1$ defined as $(\bar{n}+1)f(\frac{\bar{n}}{\bar{n}+1})$. For example, $f(x) = \frac{x+1}{2}$, $\sqrt{x}$, $\frac{2x}{x+1}$ and $\frac{x-1}{\log x}$ correspond to the arithmetic, geometric, harmonic and logarithmic means, respectively. The coefficients $\frac{f(e^{-\beta\hbar\omega})}{1-e^{-\beta\hbar\omega}}$ for several important quantum Fisher metrics are summarized in Table. I.

The crucial step in deriving Eq. (15) is to write down the complicated action of the superoperator $\mathbf{K}_{\hat{\rho}}^f$ with c-numbers through introduction of the spectral function

$$j_{\nu\mu}^C(E, E') := \text{tr} \left[\delta(E - \hat{H}) \hat{J}_{\nu} \delta(E' - \hat{H}) \hat{J}_{\mu} \right]. \quad (16)$$

Then the Fourier transform of the generalized covariance can be calculated as

$$C_{\mu\nu,\omega}^f = f(e^{-\beta\hbar\omega}) \iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE dE' \rho_{\text{eq}}(E') 2\pi\hbar\delta(E - \hbar\omega - E') j_{\nu\mu}^C(E, E'). \quad (18)$$

Noting that $\Phi_{\mu\nu,\omega} = \beta C_{\mu\nu,\omega}^g$ with $g(x) = \frac{x-1}{\log x}$ from Eq. (11), we obtain Eq. (15).

By using the generalized fluctuation-dissipation theorem (15), we can reconstruct the generalized covariance from the admittance

$$\chi_{\mu\nu}(\omega) := \int_0^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} \Phi_{\mu\nu}(t), \quad (19)$$

which can be experimentally measurable. The inverse Fourier transform of Eq. (15) with $\omega = 0$ gives

$$\langle\langle \hat{J}_{\mu}, \hat{J}_{\nu} \rangle\rangle_{\hat{\rho}}^f = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \frac{\hbar\omega f(e^{-\beta\hbar\omega})}{1 - e^{-\beta\hbar\omega}} (\chi_{\mu\nu}(\omega) + \chi_{\nu\mu}(\omega)^*). \quad (20)$$

Therefore, all types of generalized covariances can be indirectly determined by measuring the response to the harmonically oscillating external field for all frequencies $0 < \omega < \infty$. Furthermore, if the generalized covariance is symmetric, i.e., $f(x)$ is standard, then Eq. (20) can be

$E - E' = \hbar\omega$ contribute to the integral in Eq. (17), the f -dependence of $C_{\mu\nu,\omega}^f$ can simply be written as

simplified, giving

$$\langle\langle \hat{J}_{\mu}, \hat{J}_{\nu} \rangle\rangle_{\hat{\rho}}^f = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} d\omega \frac{\hbar\omega f(e^{-\beta\hbar\omega})}{1 - e^{-\beta\hbar\omega}} \text{Re}[\chi_{\mu\nu}^s(\omega)], \quad (21)$$

where $\chi_{\mu\nu}^s(\omega) := (\chi_{\mu\nu}(\omega) + \chi_{\nu\mu}(\omega))/2$ is a symmetric part of the admittance matrix.

The quantum Fisher metric can also be determined because it is nothing but the generalized covariance of the logarithmic derivative (4). Here, we explicitly calculate the external field that we need to apply for a specific model. Consider a one-parameter model which is given by

$$\hat{\rho}_{\theta} := e^{-i\theta \hat{B}} \hat{\rho}_0 e^{i\theta \hat{B}}. \quad (22)$$

The parameter θ to be estimated is the degree of shift or rotation, and $\hat{B}$ is the generator. Then, the quantum Fisher information of this model at $\theta = 0$ coincides with the generalized covariance (20) if the current operator $\hat{J} := \frac{1}{i\hbar}[\hat{A}, \hat{H}]$ is equal to the logarithmic derivative $\hat{L} := (\mathbf{K}_{\hat{\rho}}^f)^{-1}(i[\hat{\rho}, \hat{B}])$. Solving the equation $\hat{J} = \hat{L}$, we obtain

the matrix elements of the external field $\hat{A}$ as

$$\frac{\langle i|\hat{B}|j\rangle}{\langle i|\hat{A}|j\rangle} = E_{ij} \frac{f(e^{-\beta E_{ij}})}{1 - e^{-\beta E_{ij}}}, \quad (23)$$

where $E_{ij} := E_i - E_j$ is the energy difference. It is worth noting that the ratio of the matrix elements is equal to the coefficient appeared in Eq. (15). We note that the simple model (22) is sufficiently general to determine the quantum Fisher metric. This is because the tangent vector that does not commute with $\hat{\rho}$ can always be expressed as $i[\hat{\rho}, \hat{B}]$ for some $\hat{B}$ [20]. For tangent vectors that commute with $\hat{\rho}$, the quantum Fisher metrics do not depend on the choice of $f(x)$, and can be determined simply by measuring the usual variance of the logarithmic derivative. Thus, we have determined the quantum Fisher metric for any tangent vectors.

In summary, we have derived the generalized fluctuation-dissipation theorem (15) which relates the response function to generalized covariances. On the basis of this theorem, we have developed a method to determine the generalized covariance from the admittance, which can be experimentally determined by applying an ac external field. We have also identified the direction of the external field needed to determine the quantum Fisher metric. Our results have thus pointed to an interesting connection between statistical mechanics and the Fisher metrics in the quantum regime through the generalized covariance.

NOTE ADDED

After completion of our work, we became aware of the paper [21], which derives a relation between the SLD Fisher information and the susceptibility similar to our result (21).

This work was supported by KAKENHI Grant No. 26287088 from the Japan Society for the Promotion of

Science, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovation Areas “Topological Materials Science” (KAKENHI Grant No. 15H05855), the Photon Frontier Network Program from MEXT of Japan, and the Mitsubishi Foundation. T. S. was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science through Program for Leading Graduate Schools (ALPS).

* shitara@cat.phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

- [1] N. N. Čencov, *Statistical decision rules and optimal inference* (Amer. Math. Soc., 1982).
- [2] H. Cramér, *Mathematical Methods of Statistics* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1946).
- [3] G. E. Crooks, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 100602 (2007).
- [4] D. A. Sivak and G. E. Crooks, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 190602 (2012).
- [5] N. Nakagawa, Phys. Rev. E **90**, 022108 (2014).
- [6] D. Petz, Linear Algebra Appl. **244**, 81 (1996).
- [7] C. Helstrom, Phys. Lett. A **25**, 101 (1967).
- [8] H. Yuen and M. Lax, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **19**, 740 (1973).
- [9] C. Helstrom, *Quantum Detection and Estimation Theory* (Academic Press, New York, 1976).
- [10] A. S. Holevo, *Probabilistic and Statistical Aspects of Quantum Theory* (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1982).
- [11] E. P. Wigner and M. M. Yanase, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **49**, 910 (1963).
- [12] E. P. Wigner, Z. Phys. **133**, 101 (1952).
- [13] H. Araki and M. Yanase, Phys. Rev. **120**, 622 (1960).
- [14] M. M. Yanase, Phys. Rev. **123**, 666 (1961).
- [15] S. Luo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 180403 (2003).
- [16] D. Petz, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **35**, 929 (2002).
- [17] P. Gibilisco, F. Hiai, and D. Petz, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **55**, 439 (2009).
- [18] R. Kubo, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **12**, 570 (1957).
- [19] F. Kubo and T. Ando, Math. Ann. **246**, 205 (1980).
- [20] S. Amari and H. Nagaoka, *Methods of Information Geometry* (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007).
- [21] P. Hauke, M. Heyl, L. Tagliacozzo, and P. Zoller, arXiv:1509.01739.